# Wetland Assessment

## Summer 2013

Water Horsetail

Big Bluestem

Reed Canary Grass

High-bush Cranberry

Giant Goldenrod

Marsh Milkweed

Nannyberry

Narrow–leaved Cattail

# Results

## Ida Lake
## Wetland ID #22

# Table of Contents


## Introduction

## Data Sheets

- Map and Photos
- FQA Data Form
- MnRAM Site Response Record

## Minnesota Routine Assessment Method (MnRAM)

- MnRAM Site Assessment Report
- Wetland Functional Assessment Summary
- Wetland Functional Assessment Description

## Rapid Floristic Quality Assessment (Rapid FQA)

- Plant Community Assessment
- Metric Summary and Community Assessment
- Overall Assessment
- Rapid FQA Output Sheet Description
- Biologic Condition and Gradient Tier Description


Plant Photos Credit : Wetland Plants and Plant Communities of Minnesota and Wisconsin, By Steve D. Eggers and Donald M. Reed Second Edition, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District.

Blue Earth County contracted with wetland scientists from Stantec to evaluate a sample of wetlands in the county in the summer of 2013. This packet contains wetland assessment data collected for one of those sites. The data is used to evaluate specific wetland functions and public values as required by the Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act (WCA).

The WCA directs the Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) to determine the methods that must be used to evaluate wetland functions. These methods provide a systematic way for those with experience and training in wetland science to document observations based on best professional judgment.

The BWSR-approved wetland assessment methods used for this evaluation were:

- Minnesota Routine Assessment Method (MnRAM), Version 3.4
  Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources:
  http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/mnram/index.html

- Rapid Floristic Qualitative Assessment (Rapid FQA) method
  Minnesota Pollution Control Agency:
  http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-types-and-programs/surface-water/wetlands/floristic-quality-assessment.html

When looking at the results keep in mind that the MnRAM numeric rankings used are based on ideal, pre-European-settlement condition as a baseline. In urban or agricultural watersheds such as those found in Blue Earth County, few wetland basins fall into the High category. Use care when/if comparing results with other wetlands. Only wetlands with similar plant community types should be compared.

Blue Earth Count Wetland Protection and Management Plan

Ultimately, people, not the assessments, will decide what combination of wetland functions are the most important. Blue Earth County will be working with partners, citizens and stakeholders to develop a Comprehensive Wetland Protection and Management Plan in 2014. Both existing and potentially restorable wetlands will be considered in the plan. The results from this and other wetland assessments will help all involved with plan development have a better understanding of existing wetlands in the county so planners have a baseline of possibilities and reasonable expectations for future wetland projects and programs.

Thank you for your time and interest in wetlands!

BLUE EARTH COUNTY

Stantec

CLEAN WATER LAND & LEGACY AMENDMENT

# Data Sheets

**Map and Photos**

**Rapid FQA Data Form**

**MnRAM Site Response Record**

Rapid FQA guidance documents can be found on the MPCA website:
http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-types-and-programs/surface-water/wetlands/floristic-quality-assessment.html

MnRAM guidance documents can be found on the BWSR website:
http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/mnram/index.html

# Wetlands 21 and 22 - Ida Lake AMA




Wetland To Assess

Parcel

NWI Wetland

N

0 500 1,000 Feet

*2013 County Aerial Photo*



# MnRAM: Site Response Record

For Wetland: 022

Location: 07-000-00-00-001

## BEC Wetland Assessment

**Plant Community: Fresh (Wet) Meadow**

Cowardin Classification: PEMB

Circular 39: Type 2

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 4 | *Listed, rare, special species?* | No |
| 5 | *Rare community or habitat?* | No |
| 6 | *Pre-European-settlement condition?* | No |

***Hydrogeomorphology / topography:***

7 Depressional/Tributary

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 8-1 | *Maximum water depth* | 6 inches |
| 8-2 | *% inundated* | 30% |
| 9 | *Immediate drainage--local WS* | 40 acres |
| 10 | *Esimated size/existing site:* | *(see #66)* |
| 11-Upland Soil | | Minnetonka silty clay |
| 11-Wetland Soil | | Lura silty clay |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 12 | *Outlet for flood control* | NA |
| 13 | *Outlet for hydro regime* | A |
| 14 | *Dominant upland land use* | A |
| 15 | *Wetland soil condition* | A |
| 16 | *Vegetation (% cover)* | 100% |
| 17 | *Emerg. veg flood resistance* | B |
| 18 | *Sediment delivery* | A |
| 19 | *Upland soils (soil group)* | C |
| 20 | *Stormwater runoff* | C |
| 21 | *Subwatershed wetland density* | B |
| 22 | *Channels/sheet flow* | A |
| 23 | *Adjacent buffer width* | 0 feet |

***Adjacent area management***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 24-A | *Full* | 100% |
| 24-B | *Manicured* | 0% |
| 24-C | *Bare* | 0% |

***Adjacent area diversity/structure***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 25-A | *Native* | 100% |
| 25-B | *Mixed* | 0% |
| 25-C | *Sparse* | 0% |

***Adjacent area slope***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 26-A | *Gentle* | 100% |
| 26-B | *Moderate* | 0% |
| 26-C | *Steep* | 0% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 27 | *Downstream sens./WQ protect.* | A |
| 28 | *Nutrient loading* | A |
| 29 | *Shoreline wetland?* | No |

***Shoreline Wetland***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 30 | *Rooted veg., % cover* | 0% |
| 31 | *Wetland in-water width* | 0 feet |
| 32 | *Emerg. veg. erosion resistance* | |
| 33 | *Erosion potential of site* | |
| 34 | *Upslope veg./bank protection* | |
| 35 | *Rare wildlife?* | No |
| 36 | *Scare/Rare/S1/S2 community* | No |
| 37 | *Vegetative cover* | NA |
| 38 | *Veg. community interspersion* | NA |
| 39 | *Wetland detritus* | B |
| 40 | *Interspersion on landscape* | A |
| 41 | *Wildlife barriers* | A |

***Amphibian-breeding potential***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 42 | *Hydroperiod adequacy* | Adequate |
| 43 | *Fish presence* | B |
| 44 | *Overwintering habitat* | |
| 45 | *Wildlife species (list)* | |
| 46 | *Fish habitat quality* | B |
| 47 | *Fish species (list)* | |
| 48 | *Unique/rare opportunity* | No |
| 49 | *Wetland visibility* | B |
| 50 | *Proximity to population* | No |
| 51 | *Public ownership* | A |
| 52 | *Public access* | B |
| 53 | *Human influence on wetland* | A |
| 54 | *Human influence on viewshed* | A |
| 55 | *Spatial buffer* | C |
| 56 | *Recreational activity potential* | B |
| 57 | *Commercial crop--hydro impact* | NA |

***Groundwater-specific questions***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 58 | *Wetland soils* | Recharge |
| 59 | *Subwatershed land use* | Recharge |
| 60 | *Wetland size/soil group* | Recharge |
| 61 | *Wetland hydroperiod* | Recharge |
| 62 | *Inlet/Outlet configuration* | Recharge |
| 63 | *Upland topo relief* | Recharge |

***Additional information***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 64 | *Restoration potential* | No |
| 65 | *LO affected by restoration* | |
| 66 | *Existing size* | 2 |
| | *Restorable size* | 0 |
| | *Potential new wetland* | 0 |
| 67 | *Average width of pot. buffer* | 0 feet |
| 68 | *Ease of potential restoration* | |
| 69 | *Hydrologic alterations* | 0 |
| 70 | *Potential wetland type* | 0 |
| 71 | *Stormwater sensitivity* | Exceptiona |
| 72 | *Additional treatment needs* | B |

Watershed Blue Earth

WS# 30 Service Area: 9

For functional ratings, please run the Summary tab report.

This report printed on: 5/12/2014

# Minnesota
# Routine Assessment Method

# MnRAM

**MnRAM Site Assessment Report***

**Wetland Functional Assessment Summary***

**Wetland Functional Assessment Description**

*MnRAM Assessment Reports automatically generated by BWSR MnRAM program using field data inputs.

MnRAM guidance documents can be found on the BWSR website:
http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/mnram/index.html

# MnRAM Site Assessment Report

**Wetland: 022** **Project: BEC Wetland Assessment**

BLUE EARTH County, Blue Earth Watershed, Corps Bank Service Area #9

Assessment Purpose: Planning

A site visit was made to this wetland on 9/6/2013 by Stantec_Meyer. Site conditions were Normal. This wetland is estimated to cover 2 acres.

This report reflects conditions on the ground at the date of the assessment and, unless noted or implicit in the standard questions, does not reflect speculation on the future or past conditions.

This wetland is located in Shelby Township.

## General Features

*Hydrogeomorphology*

The maximum water depth at this site is 6 inches, with 30 percent inundated. With an immedidate drainage area of 40 acres, it is doubtful that this wetland is sustainable given its small catchment area.

As a Depressional/Tributary wetland, this site has an outlet but no perennial inlet or drainage entering from the upstream subwatershed. As such, Placeholder for Depressional/Tributary discussion.

This wetland has been drained or altered from its original size.

*Soils*

The soils in the immediate wetland area are primarily Lura silty clay. The adjacent upland, to about 500 feet, is Minnetonka silty clay.

## Vegetation and Upland Buffer

The extent of vegetation in this wetland is about 100 percent and the naturalized buffer width averages 0 feet. Vegetated buffers around wetlands provide multiple benefits including wildlife habitat, erosion protection, and a reduction in surface water runoff.

This buffer provides very little, if any, protection of water quality or habitat for wildlife.

## Special Features

G State or Federal fish and wildlife refuges and fish and wildlife management areas, and water fowl protection areas (Wildlife and/or Fish Habitat functional rating is Exceptional).

## Vegetative Communities

The following plant communities were observed:

(See Appendix A for details on the Dominant Species per plant community)

Fresh Wet Meadow  Type 2, PEMB. This community had a vegetative index of low and comprised 100 percent of the entire area.

The highest rated community was the Wet To Wet- Mesic Prairie community rated at 2. Averaging all the communities together, the Vegetative Diversity and Integrity of this wetland is Low. A more accurate look uses a weighted average; using this method, this site shows a Low Vegetative Diversity and Integrity.

The majority of vegetation at this site, such as it is, does not contribute to wetland function beyond water retention and flow resistance. However, because the weighted average can "hide" smaller communities, always check for even small patches of high-quality species.

## Functional Ratings

| *Function* | *Rating* | *Comment* |
|---|---|---|
| Vegetative Diversity | Low | If vegetation is present, the primary communities are compromised by extensive invasive and/or non-native species. Ongoing maintenance will be necessary to restore native ecologic communities, although the presence of invasives upstream will limit the success of restoration efforts. |
| Additional stormwater treatment needs | High | Because the maintenance of wetland water quality index is high, no additional treatment is called for. |
| Maintenance of Hydrologic Regime | High | Due either to careful human management or lack of alteration of the outlet or watershed conditions, the wetland maintains a hydrologic regime similar to the original wetland type.  This stability supports characteristic vegetative communities and is closely associated with flood attenuation, water quality, and groundwater interaction. |
| Flood/Stormwater/Attenuation | High | The wetland provides ample flood storage and/or flood wave attenuation. Outlet configuration is restricted (or unaltered) and undisturbed wetland soils, and dense emergent vegetation without channels allow the wetland to retard flood water. A high proportion of impervious surfaces in the subwatershed, large runoff volumes, clayey upland soils, and few wetlands present within the subwatershed may position any wetland to be a good attenuator of excess water. |
| Downstream Water Quality | High | This wetland has the ability and opportunity to protect valuable downstream resources, including recreational waters. A wetland with significant emergent vegetation and overland flow characteristics removes sediment from stormwater. A high nutrient removal rating indicates dense vegetation (to maximize nutrient uptake) and sheet flow.  The wetland may protect a valuable water resource within 0.5 miles downstream. More (and less-treated) runoff also increase a wetland's opportunity to rate high for this function. Maintaining wide, natural buffers and keeping out surges of untreated stormwater will help maintain this wetland's role as a protector of important resources lower in the watershed. |
| Maintenance of Wetland Water Quality | High | Wetland water quality is high, indicating little need for additional treatment. As long as upland land use and existing buffer conditions do not change, this wetland can be expected to sustain current characteristics. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Shoreline Protection | Not Applicable | The site does not fringe a deepwater habitat, lake, or is not within any type of watercourse. |
| Maintenance of Characteristic Wildlife Habitat Structure | Exceptional | The site is known to be used by rare or state or federally-listed wildlife species OR has a scarce or rare wetland plant community and a high vegetative community quality rating. In either case, the wetland is exceptional for local priorities or under state or federal guidelines. |
| Maintenance of Characteristic Fish Habitat | Exceptional | Designated trout stream or lake or State or Federal fish and wildlife refuge, fish & wildlife management area and waterfowl protection area. Game fish, in particular, are valued. |
| Maintenance of Characteristic Amphibian Habitat | Low | Predatory fish may be present and winter habitat unsuitable as site often freezes to the bottom. High inputs of untreated stormwater or unfiltered runoff contribute to poor water quality and reproductive conditions. |
| Aesthetics/Recreation /Education/Cultural | Moderate | Many wetlands are visible from nearby buildings or roads and are accessible for some recreational activities. Excess negative human influence (such as trash or alteration) will reduce the ranking of well-used and highly-accessible sites. |
| Wetland restoration potential | Not Applicable | Because restoration would affect permanent structures or infrastructure (houses, roads, septic systems), this site is not suitable for restoration. |
| Wetland Sensitivity to Stormwater and Urban Development | Moderate | This wetland is moderately sensitive to stormwater; Floodplain forests, fresh wet meadows dominated by reed canary grass, shallow and deep marshes dominated by cattail, reed canary grass, giant reed or purple loosestrife, and shallow, open water communities with low to moderate vegetative diversity. |

# Appendix A: Dominant Species By Plant Community

| | Wetland Type | Plant Community | Dominant Species | Percent Cover |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| PEMB | Type 2 | Fresh Wet Meadow | | |

# Wetland Functional Assessment Summary

| Wetland Name | Hydrogeomorphology | Maintenance of Hydrologic Regime | Flood/ Stormwater/ Attenuation | Downstream Water Quality | Maintenance of Wetland Water Quality | Shoreline Protection |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 022 | Depressional/Tributary (outlet but no perennial inlet or drainage entering from upstream subwatershed) | 1.00 | 0.67 | 0.76 | 0.70 | 0.00 |
| | | High | High | High | High | Not Applicable |

| | | | | | | | Additional Information | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wetland Name | Maintenance of Characteristic Wildlife Habitat Structure | Maintenance of Characteristic Fish Habitat | Maintenance of Characteristic Amphibian Habitat | Aesthetics/ Recreation/ Education/ Cultural | Commercial Uses | Ground-Water Interaction | Wetland Restoration Potential | Wetland Sensitivity to Stormwater and Urban Development | Additional Stormwater Treatment Needs |
| 022 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.27 | 0.59 | 0.00 | Recharge | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.70 |
| | Exceptional | Exceptional | Low | Moderate | Not Applicable | | Not Applicable | Moderate | High |

# Wetland Community Summary

| | | Vegetative Diversity/Integrity | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Community | | | | | | | |
| Wetland Name | Location | Cowardin Classification | Circular 39 | Plant Community | Wetland Proportion | Individual Community Rating | Highest Wetland Rating | Average Wetland Rating | Weighted Average Wetland Rating |
| 022 | 07-000-00-00-001 | PEMB | Type 2 | Fresh (Wet) Meadow | 100 | 0.1 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| | | | | | | | Low | Low | Low |
| | | | | | 100 | | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |

# MnRAM Output Sheet Guidance

## *Wetland Functional Assessment Summary and Wetland Community Summary*

Guidance for understanding the wetland functions shown on the MnRAM summary sheet is described in more detail on the following pages. The descriptions are from the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) **Comprehensive General Guidance for Minnesota Routine Assessment Method (MnRAM) Evaluating Wetland Function, Version 3.4.** The full guidance document can be viewed at the BWSR website:

**http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/mnram/index.html**

### Functional Ratings

MnRAM was developed using the concept of ideal theoretical, pre-European-settlement wetland condition as the baseline. In highly urban or agricultural watersheds, few basins may fall into the High category. Local authorities will need to take this into account when establishing a scale for management decisions.

Each wetland function is rated with a numeric index according to the formulas or decision trees accompanying this methodology. The scoring system is from 0.001 to 1.0 signifying low to high, respectively; in the instances where an exceptional rating applies, a score of 2 accentuates the rarity. For yes-no questions, yes receives a score of 1 and no receives a score of zero. Each wetland function then receives an index score with ratings as follows:

| Functional Ratings | Question Score | Functional Index Score |
|---|---|---|
| Exceptional | 2.0 | 1.01 - 2.00 |
| High | 1.0 | 0.66 - 1.00 |
| Medium | 0.5 | 0.33 - 0.65 |
| Low | 0.1 | 0.001 - 0.32 |
| Not Applicable | N/A | 0.0 |

MnRAM includes numeric as well as general ratings. The numeric ratings are based on standardized formulas to achieve consistency among users and are, in effect, placeholders for the general rating categories of exceptional, high, medium, and low. Great care should be taken when interpreting the results. In particular, the general and numeric ratings should not be summed or averaged across different functions (or for different wetlands). Mixing the ratings of disparate functions (or different wetlands) can be misleading if not meaningless. The primary intent of MnRAM is to provide a function-by-function rating for individual wetlands (or plant communities).

# *Wetland Functional Assessment Summary*

## *Wetland Name*
Unique number for each wetland assigned by county staff during the process of identifying sites for the project.

## *Hydrogeomorphology*
Hydrogeomorphology describes the position of a wetland in the landscape (geomorphic setting), dominant sources of water, and the flow and fluctuation of water once in the wetland.

## *Maintenance of Characteristic Hydrologic Regime*
A wetland's hydrologic regime or hydroperiod is the seasonal pattern of the wetland water level that is like a hydrologic signature of each wetland type. It defines the rise and fall of a wetland's surface and subsurface water. The constancy of the seasonal patterns from year to year ensures a reasonable stability for the wetland. The ability of the wetland to maintain a hydrologic regime characteristic of the wetland type is evaluated based upon wetland soil and vegetation characteristics, land use within the wetland, land use within the upland watershed contributing to the wetland, and wetland outlet configuration. Maintenance of the hydrologic regime is important for maintaining a characteristic vegetative community, and is closely associated with other functions including flood attenuation, water quality and groundwater interaction. MnRAM measures the degree of human alteration of the wetland hydrology, either by outlet control or by altering immediate watershed conditions.

## *Flood and Stormwater Storage/Attenuation*
A wetland's ability to provide flood storage and/or flood wave attenuation is dependent on many characteristics of the wetland and contributing watershed. Characteristics of the subwatershed that affect the wetland's ability to provide flood storage and attenuation include: soil types, land use and resulting stormwater runoff volume, sediment delivery from the subwatershed, and the abundance of wetlands and water bodies in the subwatershed.

Wetland characteristics which affect the wetland's ability to store and or attenuate stormwater include: condition of wetland soils; presence, extent, and type of wetland vegetation; presence and connectivity of channels; and most importantly outlet configuration.

Higher rated wetlands will have an unaltered or restricted outlet, undisturbed wetland soils, dense emergent vegetation without channels, a high proportion of impervious surfaces in the subwatershed, large runoff volumes, clayey upland soils, and few wetlands present within the subwatershed.

## *Downstream Water Quality Protection*
This rates the wetland's ability and opportunity to protect valuable downstream resources. Valuable downstream resources include recreational waters (i.e. lakes, streams, rivers, creeks, etc) and potable water supplies. The level of functioning is determined based on runoff characteristics, sedimentation processes, nutrient cycling, and the presence and location of significant downstream water resources.

Runoff characteristics that are evaluated include: land use and soils in the upstream watershed, the stormwater delivery system to the wetland, and sediment delivery characteristics. The ability of the wetland to remove sediment from stormwater is determined by emergent vegetation and overland flow characteristics. A high nutrient removal rating indicates dense vegetation and sheet flow to maximize nutrient uptake and residence time within the wetland.

The opportunity for a wetland to protect a valuable water resource diminishes with distance from the wetland so wetlands with valuable waters within 0.5 miles downstream have the greatest opportunity to provide protection, as do those that receive more (and less-treated) runoff.

Functional Index for Downstream Water Quality Protection
Three major processes make up equal portions of the Downstream Water Quality Protection function with a measure of opportunity to protect downstream resources; each process is comprised of two to four observable parameters.

1. **Rate, Quantity, and Quality of Runoff to the Wetland**: this is characterized by the conditions in the upstream watershed; both land use and soils, that affect the sediment and nutrient loads to the wetland, and by the existing storm water delivery system to the wetland.

2. **Sedimentation**: this is characterized by the presence of flow-through emergent vegetation density and by the overland flow characteristics within the wetland. A wetland with primarily sheet flow through the wetland and dense emergent vegetation density will allow sediment to drop out more effectively than a wetland with channel flow and no vegetation.

3. **Nutrient Uptake**: this is characterized by the outlet configuration and vegetative characteristics. A wetland with long water retention times has more capacity to remove nutrients from the water column via physical and biological processes. Vegetation slows floodwaters by creating frictional drag in proportion to stem density which allows sediment particles to settle out, thereby improving the water quality for downstream uses.

4. **Downstream Sensitivity**: if the wetland contributes to the maintenance of water quality within one-half mile of a recreational water body or potable water supply source downstream, it operates at a higher functioning level than a similar wetland farther from or without significant downstream water resources.

## *Maintenance of Wetland Water Quality*

The sustainability of a wetland is partially driven by the quality and quantity of stormwater runoff entering the wetland. The ability of the wetland to sustain its characteristics is evaluated based on characteristics of the contributing subwatershed and indicators within the wetland.

Subwatershed conditions which affect the wetland‘s sustainability in relation to water quality impacts include: upland land use; sediment delivery characteristics to the wetland; stormwater runoff volumes and rates; and the extent, condition, and width of upland buffer.

Indicators of nutrient loading to the wetland indicate that a diverse wetland may not be sustainable. Indicators that a wetland has been affected by nutrient loading include the presence of monotypic vegetation and/or algal blooms.

## *Shoreline Protection*

Shoreline protection is evaluated only for those wetlands adjacent to lakes, streams, or deepwater habitats. The function is rated based on the wetlands opportunity to protect the shoreline; i.e. wetlands located in areas frequently experiencing large waves and high currents have the best opportunity to protect

the shore. In addition, shore areas composed of sands and loams with little vegetation or shallow-rooted vegetation will benefit the most from shoreline wetlands. The wetland width, vegetative cover, and resistance of the vegetation to erosive forces determine the wetland's ability to protect the shoreline.

## *Maintenance of Characteristic Wildlife Habitat Structure*

The ability of a wetland to support various wildlife species is difficult to determine due to the specific requirements of the many wildlife species that utilize wetlands. This function determines the value of a wetland for wildlife in a more general sense, and not based on any specific species.

The characteristics evaluated to determine the wildlife habitat function include: vegetative quality, outlet characteristics (which control hydrologic regime), upland land use, wetland soil type and conditions, water quality of storm water runoff entering the wetland, upland buffer extent, condition, and diversity; the interspersion of wetlands in the area; barriers to wildlife movement; wetland size; vegetative and community interspersion within the wetland; and amphibian breeding potential and overwintering habitat.

## *Maintenance of Characteristic Fish Habitat*

The ability of the wetland to support native fish populations is determined by structural factors within the wetland as well as water quality contributions from upland factors. Wetlands rated High are lacustrine or riverine and provide spawning/nursery habitat, or refuge for native species (included but not limited to game fish). Wetlands rated Low for fish habitat do not have a direct hydrologic connection to a waterbody with a native fishery or have poor water quality.

Note: In MnRAM if the wetland is part of, or directly adjacent to, a State or Federal fish and wildlife refuge or fish and wildlife management area, and water fowl protection area, then Wildlife and/or Fish Habitat functional rating is Exceptional.

## *Maintenance of Characteristic Amphibian Habitat - Frogs, Toads and Salamanders*

The characteristic ability of a wetland to support various amphibian species is difficult to determine due to the specific requirements of the many amphibian species that depend on wetlands. This function determines the value of a wetland for amphibians in general, not based on specific species. An adequate wetland hydroperiod and the presence or absence of predatory fish are considered to be limiting variables for this function. In general, wetlands must remain inundated until early to mid-June to allow the larval stages to metamorphose into adults. Because many amphibians are partly terrestrial, the characteristics evaluated to determine the amphibian habitat function include numerous hydrology and terrestrial measures. The characteristics evaluated include: upland land use, upland buffer width, water quality of storm water runoff entering the wetland, barriers to wildlife movement, and amphibian breeding potential and overwintering habitat.

An adequate wetland hydroperiod is considered to be the primary limiting variable for this functional index. If the hydroperiod is insufficient for breeding, the wetland rating for amphibian use will be Not Sufficient. The status of predatory fish in the wetland is a secondary limiting factor to the final rating.

Amphibians' ability to use a particular wetland for over wintering is a contributing factor in rating the wetland's functional index. Because most amphibians are partly terrestrial, the extent of upland buffer habitat surrounding the wetland is an important habitat component and is weighted by a factor of two.

Unnatural fluctuations in water depth in wetlands from conducted storm water runoff can impair reproductive success in amphibians, which often attach their eggs to stems of wetland vegetation, e.g., salamanders, tree frogs, green frogs, and wood frogs. Extreme water level fluctuations during winter may also cause mortality in overwintering reptiles and amphibians. The presence of barriers is included because access to and from the wetland by amphibians is an important factor in habitat quality.

## *Aesthetic / Recreation / Education / Cultural / Science*

The aesthetics/recreation/education/cultural and science function and value of each wetland is evaluated based on the wetland's visibility, accessibility, evidence of recreational uses, evidence of human influences (e.g. noise and air pollution) and any known educational or cultural purposes. Accessibility of the wetland is key to its aesthetic or educational appreciation. While dependent on accessibility, a wetland's functional level could be evaluated by the view it provides observers. Distinct contrast between the wetland and surrounding upland may increase its perceived importance. Also, diversity of wetland types or vegetation communities may increase its functional level as compared to monotypic open water or vegetation.

## *Commercial Uses*

This question considers the nature of any commercially-valuable use of the wetland and requires the assessor to consider how such use may be a detriment to the sustainability of the wetland. Some row crops can be planted in Type 1 wetlands after spring flooding has ceased and still have adequate time to grow to maturity. This non-wetland-dependent agricultural use of wetlands may include hay, pasture/grazing, or row crops such as soybeans or corn. Wetland-dependent crops include wild rice and cranberries, which rely on the wetland hydrology for part of their life cycle.

Sustainable uses of the wetland would not require modifying a natural wetland. Products in this category would include collection of botanical products, wet native grass seed, floral decorations, wild rice, black spruce, white cedar, and tamarack. Sustainable uses may require modification of the natural hydrology, such as for wetland-dependent crops (rice, cranberries). Haying and grazing can be less intrusive agricultural activities utilized more or less casually when hydrologic conditions permit; light pasture and occasional haying would be considered more or less sustainable. Like peat-mining, cropping is an unsustainable use of the wetland as it is results in severe alterations of wetland characteristics (soil, vegetation, hydrology).

## *Groundwater Interaction*

The ground water interaction function is the most difficult to assess. Here the most likely type of ground water interaction is determined, i.e. recharge or discharge, or a combination. In many cases, a wetland will exhibit both recharge and discharge characteristics, however one is usually more dominant. Several wetland and watershed characteristics are evaluated to determine the likely interaction including: wetland soil type, upland land use, upland soil types and wetland size, wetland hydroperiod, wetland outlet characteristics, and topographic relief.

The purpose of this function is strictly to determine the likelihood of the appropriate ground-water interaction based on observable characteristics of the wetland and watershed. The significance of ground water as a component of the wetland water budget is the most difficult functional characteristic to determine without large quantities of detailed hydrologic and geologic information.

Wherever ground water recharge is indicated as the primary interaction and the wetland lies within a sensitive ground water area, a contribution area to a public water supply, or a wellhead protection area, it should be recorded as Exceptional for the ground water/wetland function.

## *Wetland Restoration Potential*

The potential for wetland restoration is determined based on the ease with which the wetland could be restored, the number of landowners within the historic wetland basin, the size of the potential restoration area, the potential for establishing buffer areas or water quality ponding, and the extent and type of hydrologic alteration. Each variable uses the High, Medium, Low rating rather than raw numbers—see MnRAM for individual ranges.

## *Wetland Sensitivity to Stormwater Input and Urban Development*

The sensitivity of the wetland to stormwater and urban development is determined based on guidance within the *Storm-Water and Wetlands: Planning and Evaluation Guidelines for Addressing Potential Impacts of Urban Storm-Water and Snow-Melt Runoff on Wetlands*, State of Minnesota Storm-Water Advisory Group, June 1997.

## *Additional Stormwater Treatment Needs*

This rates the sustainability of the wetland with regard to stormwater discharges to the wetland. The need for additional stormwater treatment prior to discharge to the wetland is rated based on the overall rating for Maintenance of Wetland Water Quality. If a wetland is severely degraded by stormwater inputs, the rating will be low, since a diverse, high quality wetland will not be sustainable.

# *Wetland Community Summary*

## *Vegetative Diversity/Integrity*

The functional rating is based primarily on the diversity of vegetation within the wetland in comparison to an undisturbed condition for that wetland type. An “exceptional” rating results from one of the following conditions: 1) highly diverse wetlands with virtually no non-native species, 2) rare or critically impaired wetland communities in the watershed, or 3) the presence or previous sighting of rare, threatened, or endangered plant species. A high rating indicates the presence of diverse, native wetland species and a lack of non-native or invasive species. Wetlands that rate low are primarily dominated by non-native and/or invasive species.

## *Community*
## *Cowardin Classification and Circular 39 - Plant Community*

### *Circular 39- Plant Community*

The *Wetlands of the United States* was published in 1959 by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and is commonly referred to as "Circular 39". The Circular 39 Classification System was the first method that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service used to classify wetland basins in the U.S. It is composed of 20 wetland types of which eight are found in Minnesota.

***TYPE 1: SEASONALLY FLOODED BASIN, FLOODPLAIN FOREST***
Soil is covered with water or is waterlogged during variable seasonal periods, but usually is well-drained during much of the growing season. This wetland type is found both in upland depressions and in overflow bottomlands. In uplands, basins or flats may be filled with water during periods of heavy rain or melting snow.

Vegetation varies greatly according to season and duration of flooding: from bottomland hardwoods to herbaceous plants. Where the water has receded early in the growing season, smartweeds, wild millet, fall panicum, chufa, various amaranths and other plants (i.e. marsh elder, ragweed, and cockleburs) are likely to occur. Shallow basins that are submerged only very temporarily usually develop little or no wetland vegetation.

***TYPE 2: WET MEADOW, FRESH WET MEADOW, WET TO WET-MESIC PRAIRIE, SEDGE MEADOW, AND CALCAREOUS FEN***
Soil is usually without standing water during most of the growing season, but is waterlogged within at least a few inches of the surface. Meadows may fill shallow basins, sloughs, or farmland sags, or these meadows may border shallow marshes on the landward side. Vegetation includes grasses, sedges, rushes and various broad-leaved plants. Common representative plants are *Carex* sp. (sedges), *Juncus* sp. (rushes), redtop, reed grasses, manna grasses, prairie cordgrass, and mints. Other wetland plant community types include low prairies, sedge meadows, and calcareous fens.

***TYPE 3: SHALLOW MARSH***

Soil is usually waterlogged early during the growing season and may often be covered with as much as 6 inches or more of water. These marshes may nearly fill shallow lake basins or sloughs, or may border deep marshes on the landward side. These are common as seep areas on irrigated lands. Vegetation includes grasses, bulrushes, spikerushes, and various other marsh plants such as cattails, arrowhead, pickerelweed, and smartweeds. Common representatives are reed, whitetop, rice cutgrass, *Carex*, and giant burreed.

***TYPE 4: DEEP MARSH***

Soil is usually covered with 6 inches to 3 feet or more of water during the growing season. These deep marshes may completely fill shallow lake basins, potholes, limestone sinks and sloughs, or they may border open water in such depressions. Vegetation includes cattails, reeds, bulrushes, spikerushes and wild rice. In open areas, pondweeds, naiads, coontail, watermilfoils, waterweeds, duckweed, water lilies, or spatterdocks may occur.

***TYPE 5: SHALLOW OPEN WATER***

Shallow ponds and reservoirs are included in this type. Water is usually less than 10 feet deep and is fringed by a border of emergent vegetation similar to open areas of Type 4. Vegetation (mainly at water depths less than 6 feet), includes pondweeds, naiads, wild celery, coontail, watermilfoils, muskgrass, waterlilies, and spatterdocks.

***TYPE 6: SHRUB SWAMP; SHRUB CARR, ALDER THICKET***

The soil is usually waterlogged during the growing season and is often covered with as much as 6 inches of water. Shrub swamps occur mostly along sluggish streams and occasionally on flood plains. Vegetation includes alders, willows, buttonbush, and dogwoods.

***TYPE 7: WOODED SWAMPS; HARDWOOD SWAMP, CONIFEROUS SWAMP***

The soil is waterlogged at least to within a few inches of the surface during the growing season and is often covered with as much as 1 foot of water. Wooded swamps occur mostly along sluggish streams, on old riverine oxbows, on floodplains, on flat uplands, and in very shallow lake basins. Forest vegetation includes tamarack, white cedar, black spruce, balsam fir, red maple, and black ash. Northern evergreen swamps usually have a thick ground covering of mosses. Deciduous swamps frequently support beds of duckweeds, smartweeds, and other herbs.

***TYPE 8: BOGS; CONIFEROUS BOGS, OPEN BOGS***

The soil is usually waterlogged and supports a spongy covering of mosses. Bogs occur mostly in shallow lake basins, on flat uplands and along sluggish streams. Vegetation is woody or herbaceous or both. Typical plants are heath shrubs, sphagnum moss, and sedges. In the North, leatherleaf, Labrador-tea, cranberries, *Carex*, and cottongrass are often present. Scattered, often stunted, black spruce, and tamarack may occur in northern bogs.

## *Cowardin Classification – National Wetlands Inventory*

This methodology was used to classify wetlands for the National Wetlands Inventory maps beginning in the late 1970's and early 1980's. The hierarchical structure progresses from Systems and Subsystems at the most general levels to Classes, Subclasses, and Dominance Types at the most specific levels.

### *SYSTEM*

The term System refers to a complex of wetlands and deepwater habitats that share the influence of similar hydrologic, geomorphologic, chemical, or biological factors. The primary systems found in the Minnesota are Palustrine, Lacustrine, and Riverine.

**L: Lacustrine** (lakes and deep ponds) - Lacustrine Systems include wetlands and deepwater habitats with all of the following three characteristics:

1. Situated in a topographic depression or a dammed river channel;
2. Lacking trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, emergent mosses or lichens with greater than 30 percent areal coverage;
3. Total area exceeds 8 hectares (20 acres).

Basins or catchments less than 8 hectares in size are included if they have at least one of the following characteristics:

1. A wave-formed or bedrock feature forms all or part of the shoreline boundary; or
2. The catchment has, at low water, a depth greater than two meters (6.6 feet) in the deepest part of the basin.

**P: Palustrine** (shallow ponds, marshes, swamps and sloughs) - Palustrine Systems include all nontidal wetlands dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, emergent mosses or lichens.

**R: Riverine** (rivers, creeks and streams) - Riverine Systems are contained in natural or artificial channels periodically or continuously containing flowing water. Upland islands or Palustrine wetlands may occur in the channel, but they are not part of the Riverine System.

### *SUBSYSTEM*

The term Subsystem refers to a further subdivision of Systems into more specific categories. The Palustrine System has no subsystems associated with it while Lacustrine Systems have two Subsystems and Riverine Systems have four). Each Subsystem is unique for the System to which it applies.

**L1:** Limnetic - Extends outward from Littoral boundary and includes deep water habitats within the Lacustrine System.
**L2:** Littoral - Extends from shoreward boundary to 2 meters (6 feet) below annual low water or to the maximum extent of non-persistent emergents, if these grow at greater than 2 meters.
**R2:** Lower Perennial
**R3:** Upper Perennial
**R4:** Intermittent

### *CLASS, SUBCLASS*

The wetland Class is the highest taxonomic unit below the Subsystem level. The Class code describes the general appearance of the habitat in terms of either the dominant life form of the vegetation or the physiography and composition of the substrate. Life forms (e.g. trees, shrubs, emergents) are used to define classes because they are easily recognizable, do not change distribution rapidly, and have traditionally been used to classify wetlands. Finer differences in life forms are recognized at the Subclass level.

Mixed classes are used as sparingly as possible, under two main conditions: (1) The wetland contains two or more distinct cover types each encompassing at least 30 percent areal coverage of the highest life form, but is too small in size to allow separate delineation of each cover type; and (2) The wetland contains 2 or more classes or subclasses each comprising at least 30 percent areal coverage so evenly interspersed that separate delineation is not possible at the scale used for classification. Mixed subclasses are also allowed and follow the same rules for mixed classes.

**AB: Aquatic Bed** - Includes wetlands and deepwater habitats dominated by plants that grow principally on or below the surface of the water for most of the growing season in most years.
Subclasses include: AB1 = Algal, AB2 = Aquatic Moss, AB3 = Rooted Vascular, AB4 = Floating Vascular, AB5 = Unknown Submergent, and AB6 = Unknown Surface.
**EM: Emergent** - Characterized by erect, rooted, herbaceous hydrophytes, excluding mosses and lichens. This vegetation is present for most of the growing season in most years.
Subclasses include: EM1 = Persistent (plants that normally remain standing at least until the beginning of the next growing season), and EM2 = Nonpersistent (plants which fall to the surface of the substrate or below the surface of the water at the end of the growing season).
**FO: Forested** - Woody vegetation greater than 6 meters (20 feet) tall. Subclass determination is based on which type represents more than 50 percent of the areal canopy coverage during the leaf-on period and Subclasses include: FO1 = Broad-leaved Deciduous, FO2 = Needle-leaved Deciduous, FO3 = Broad-leaved Evergreen, FO4 = Needle-leaved Evergreen, FO5 = Dead, FO6 = Deciduous, and FO7 = Evergreen.
**SS: Scrub/Shrub** - Woody vegetation less than 6 meters (20 feet) tall. The species include true shrubs, young trees (saplings) or trees that are small or stunted because of environmental conditions.
Subclass determination is based on which type represents more than 50 percent of the areal canopy coverage during the leaf-on period and include: SS1 = Broad-leaved Deciduous, SS2 = Needle-leaved Deciduous, SS3 = Broad-leaved Evergreen, SS4 = Needle-leaved Evergreen, SS5 = Dead, SS6 = Deciduous (used if deciduous woody vegetation cannot be identified on aerial photography as either Broad-leaved or Needle-leaved), and SS7 = Evergreen (used if evergreen woody vegetation cannot be identified on aerial photography as either Broad-leaved or Needle-leaved).
**UB: Unconsolidated Bottom** - Includes all wetlands and deepwater habitats with at least 25 percent cover of particles smaller than stones (less than 6-7 cm.), and a vegetative cover less than 30 percent.

### *WATER REGIME*

Precise description of hydrologic characteristics requires detailed knowledge of the duration and timing of surface inundation, both yearly and long-term, as well as an understanding of groundwater fluctuations. Because such information is seldom available, the water regimes that, in part, determine characteristic wetland and deepwater plant and animal communities are described here in only general terms.

**A: Temporarily Flooded** - Surface water present for brief periods during the growing season, but the water table usually lies well below the soil surface. Plants that grow both in uplands and wetlands are characteristic of this water regime. The temporarily flooded regime also includes wetlands where water is present for variable periods without detectable seasonal periodicity. Weeks, months, or even years may intervene between periods of inundation. The dominant plant communities under this regime may change as soil moisture conditions change.
**B: Saturated** - The substrate is saturated to the surface for extended periods during the growing season, but surface water is seldom present.
**C: Seasonally Flooded** - Surface water is present for extended periods especially early in the growing season, but is absent by the end of the growing season in most years. When surface water is absent, the water table is often near the land surface. The water table after flooding ceases is highly variable, extending from saturated to a water table well below the ground surface.
**F: Semi-permanently Flooded** - Surface water persists throughout the growing season in most years. When surface water is absent, the water table is usually at or very near the land surface.
**G: Intermittently Exposed** - Surface water is present throughout the year except in years of extreme drought.
**H: Permanently Flooded** - Water covers the land surface throughout the year in all years. Vegetation is composed of obligate hydrophytes.

### *Special Modifiers*

Many wetlands and deepwater habitats are human-made and natural ones have been modified to some degree by the activities of humans or beavers. Since the nature of these modifications often greatly influences the character of such habitats, special modifying terms have been included here to emphasize their importance.

**b: Beaver** – Created or modified by a beaver dam.
**d: Partly Drained** – The water level has been artificially lowered, but the area is still classified as wetland because soil moisture is sufficient to support hydrophytes. Drained areas are not considered wetland if they can no longer support hydrophytes.
**f: Farmed –** The soil surface has been mechanically or physically altered for production of crops, but hydrophytes will become reestablished if farming is discontinued.
**h: Diked/Impounded** – Created or modified by a barrier or dam which purposefully or unintentionally obstructs the outflow of water. Both humans-made and beaver dams are included.
**r: Artificial** – Refers to substrates classified as Rock Bottom, Unconsolidated Bottom, Rocky Shore, and Unconsolidated Shore that were emplaced by humans, using either natural materials such as dredge spoil or synthetic materials such as discarded automobiles, tires, or concrete.
**s: Spoil –** Refers to the placement of spoil materials which have resulted in the establishment of wetland.
**x: Excavated –** Lies within a basin or channel excavated by humans.

### *Wetland Proportion*

Percentage of each community type in the wetland area assessed.

### *Individual Community Rating*

### *Highest - Average Wetland Rating - Weighted Average Wetland Rating*

# Palustrine System - Cowardin Classification - National Wetlands Inventory

**Definition.** The Palustrine System includes all nontidal wetlands dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, emergent mosses or lichens. It also includes wetlands lacking such vegetation, but with all of the following three characteristics: (1) area less than 8 ha (20 acres); (2) active wave-formed or bedrock shoreline features lacking; and (3) water depth in the deepest part of basin less than 2 m at low water.

**Limits.** The Palustrine System is bounded by upland or by any of the other four Systems.




**Description.** The Palustrine System was developed to group the vegetated wetlands traditionally called by such names as marsh, swamp, bog, fen, and prairie, which are found throughout the United States. It also includes the small, shallow, permanent or intermittent water bodies often called ponds. Palustrine wetlands may be situated shoreward of lakes, river channels, or estuaries; on river floodplains; in isolated catchments; or on slopes. They may also occur as islands in lakes or rivers. The erosive forces of wind and water are of minor importance except during severe floods.

The emergent vegetation adjacent to rivers and lakes is often referred to as "the shore zone" or the "zone of emergent vegetation", and is generally considered separately from the river or lake. There are often great similarities between wetlands lying adjacent to lakes or rivers and isolated wetlands of the same class in basins without open water.

**Subsystems.** None.

**Classes.** Rock Bottom, Unconsolidated Bottom, Aquatic Bed, Unconsolidated Shore, Moss-Lichen Wetland, Emergent Wetland, Scrub-Shrub Wetland, and Forested Wetland.

# Riverine System - Cowardin Classification - National Wetlands Inventory

**Definition.** The Riverine System includes all wetlands and deepwater habitats contained within a channel, with the exception of wetlands dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, emergent mosses, or lichens. A channel is an open conduit either naturally or artificially created which periodically or continuously contains moving water, or which forms a connecting link between two bodies of standing water.

**Limits.** The Riverine System is bounded on the landward side by upland, by the channel bank (including natural and man-made levees), or by wetland dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, emergent mosses, or lichens. In braided streams, the system is bounded by the banks forming the outer limits of the depression within which the braiding occurs. Springs discharging into a channel are considered part of the Riverine System.

**Description.** Water is usually, but not always, flowing in the Riverine System. Upland islands or Palustrine wetlands may occur in the channel, but they are not included in the Riverine System. Palustrine Moss-Lichen Wetlands, Emergent Wetlands, Scrub-Shrub Wetlands, and Forested Wetlands may occur adjacent to the Riverine System, often on a floodplain.




**Subsystems.** The Riverine System in Minnesota is divided into three Subsystems: the Lower Perennial, the Upper Perennial, and the Intermittent. Each is defined in terms of water permanence, gradient, water velocity, substrate, and the extent of floodplain development. The Subsystems have characteristic flora and fauna. All Subsystems are not necessarily present in all rivers, and the order of occurrence may be other than that given below.

- ***Lower Perennial.*** -- The gradient is low and water velocity is slow, and some water flows throughout the year. The substrate consists mainly of sand and mud. Oxygen deficits may sometimes occur, the fauna is composed mostly of species that reach their maximum abundance in still water. ***Upper Perennial.*** -- The gradient is high and velocity of the water is fast, and some water flows throughout the year. The substrate consists of rock, cobbles, or gravel with occasional patches of sand. The natural dissolved oxygen concentration is normally near saturation. The fauna is characteristic of running water.
- ***Intermittent.*** -- In this Subsystem, the channel contains flowing water for only part of the year. When the water is not flowing, it may remain in isolated pools or surface water may be absent.

**Classes.** Rock Bottom, Unconsolidated Bottom, Aquatic Bed, Streambed, Rocky Shore, Unconsolidated Shore, and Emergent Wetland (nonpersistent).

# Lacustrine System (Lakes) - Cowardin Classification - National Wetlands Inventory

**Definition.** The Lacustrine System includes wetlands and deepwater habitats with all of the following characteristics: (1) situated in a topographic depression or a dammed river channel; (2) lacking trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, emergent mosses or lichens with greater than 30% areal coverage; and (3) total area exceeds 8 ha (20 acres). Similar wetland and deepwater habitats totaling less than 8 ha are also included in the Lacustrine System if an active wave-formed or bedrock shoreline feature makes up all or part of the boundary, or if the water depth in the deepest part of the basin exceeds 2 m (6.6 feet) at low water.




**Limits.** The Lacustrine System is bounded by upland or by wetland dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, emergent mosses, or lichens. Lacustrine Systems formed by damming a river channel are bounded by a contour approximating the normal spillway elevation or normal pool elevation, except where Palustrine wetlands extend lakeward of that boundary. Where a river enters a lake, the extension of the Lacustrine shoreline forms the Riverine-Lacustrine boundary.

**Description.** The Lacustrine System includes permanently flooded lakes and reservoirs (e.g., Lake Superior), intermittent lakes (e.g., playa lakes). Typically, there are extensive areas of deep water and there is considerable wave action. Islands of Palustrine wetland may lie within the boundaries of the Lacustrine System.

**Subsystems.**

- ***Limnetic.*** -- All deepwater habitats within the Lacustrine System; many small Lacustrine Systems have no Limnetic Subsystem.
- ***Littoral.*** -- All wetland habitats in the Lacustrine System. Extends from the shoreward boundary of the system to a depth of 2 m (6.6 feet) below low water or to the maximum extent of nonpersistent emergents, if these grow at depths greater than 2 m.

**Classes.** Rock Bottom, Unconsolidated Bottom, Aquatic Bed, Rocky Shore, Unconsolidated Shore, and Emergent Wetland (nonpersistent).

# Rapid Floristic Quality Assessment

# R a p i d  F Q A

**Plant Community Assessment**

**Metric Summary and Community Assessment**

**Overall Assessment**

**Rapid FQA Output Sheet Description**

**Biologic Condition and Gradient Tier Description**

Rapid FQA guidance documents can be found on the MPCA website:
http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-types-and-programs/surface-water/wetlands/floristic-quality-assessment.html

# Community #1

Eggers & Reed Plant Community Type: Fresh Meadow
Percent of AA Occupied by Type: 100

| Spp. # | Scientific Name | Common Name | Cover Class | CC Range | Midpoint CC | Native Status | Rapid FQA Stratum | C | p | pC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Acer negundo | Box elder | 1 | > 0 - 1% | 0.5 | Native | Tree | 1 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 |
| 2 | Salix nigra | Black willow | 3 | > 5 - 25% | 15 | Native | Tree | 4 | 0.0836 | 0.3343 |
| 3 | Ulmus americana | American elm | 1 | > 0 - 1% | 0.5 | Native | Tree | 3 | 0.0028 | 0.0084 |
| 4 | Asclepias incarnata ssp. incarnata | Swamp milkweed | 1 | > 0 - 1% | 0.5 | Native | Herb | 4 | 0.0028 | 0.0111 |
| 5 | Bidens cernua | Nodding bur marigold | 2 | > 1 - 5% | 3 | Native | Herb | 3 | 0.0167 | 0.0501 |
| 6 | Carex lacustris | Lake sedge | 2 | > 1 - 5% | 3 | Native | Herb | 5 | 0.0167 | 0.0836 |
| 7 | Echinochloa crus-galli | Barnyardgrass | 2 | > 1 - 5% | 3 | Introduced | Herb | 0 | 0.0167 | 0 |
| 8 | Lactuca serriola | Prickly lettuce | 2 | > 1 - 5% | 3 | Introduced | Herb | 0 | 0.0167 | 0 |
| 9 | Phalaris arundinacea | Reed canary grass | 5 | > 50 - 75% | 62.5 | Introduced | Herb | 0 | 0.3482 | 0 |
| 10 | Polygonum pensylvanicum | Pennsylvania smartweed | 6 | > 75 - 95% | 85 | Native | Herb | 1 | 0.4735 | 0.4735 |
| 11 | Typha X glauca | Hybrid cattail | 2 | > 1 - 5% | 3 | Introduced | Herb | 0 | 0.0167 | 0 |
| 12 | Urtica dioica ssp. gracilis | Stinging nettle | 1 | > 0 - 1% | 0.5 | Native | Herb | 1 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 |

# Metric Summary & Community Assessments

| | Community #1 |
|---|---|
| Community Type | Fresh Meadow |
| *wC* | 1.0 |
| BCG Tier | 4 |

| Additional Metrics | |
|---|---|
| Native Species Richness | 8 |
| Introduced Species Richness | 4 |
| Mean C | 1.8 |
| FQI | 5.2 |
| Total Midpoint % Cover | 179.5 |
| Total Introduced Spp. Cover | 71.5 |
| Proportion of Introduced Cover | 0.40 |

# Overall Assessment

| Community # | Community Type | *wC* | BCG Tier | Proportion of AA | Proportion x BCG Tier |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Fresh Meadow | 1.0 | 4 | 1 | 4 |

**Wheighted Average BCG Tier for AA** **4**

# Rapid Floristic Quality Assessment Output Sheets

## Summary and Glossary

The **Rapid Floristic Quality Assessment (RFQA**) is a vegetation-based ecological assessment approach that can be used for wetland quality monitoring and assessment. RFQA is based on the Coefficient of Conservatism (C).

**Community** is the range of plants that make up a specific kind of wetland. The different community types are explained below. Each Assessment Area (AA), (i.e. wetland being evaluated) can contain up to 3 different plant community types.

**Eggers & Reed Plant Community Types:**

### Shallow Open Water

Shallow, open water plant communities generally have water depths of less than 6.6 feet (2 meters). Submergent, floating and floating-leaved aquatic vegetation including pondweeds, water-lilies, water milfoil, coontail and duckweeds characterize this wetland type. Size can vary from a one-quarter acre pond, to a long oxbow of a river, or shallow bay of a lake. The presence or absence of floating vegetation depends upon the effects of the season, wind, availability of nutrients, and aquatic weed control efforts. Shallow, open water communities differ from deep and shallow marshes in that they are seldom, if ever, drawn down. As a result, persistent, emergent aquatic vegetation cannot become established.

### Deep Marsh

Deep marsh plant communities have standing water depths of between 6 inches and 3 or more feet during the growing season. Herbaceous emergent, floating, floating-leaved and submergent vegetation compose this community, with the major dominance by cattails, bulrushes, pickerelweed, giant bur-reed, common reed, wild rice, pondweeds and/or water-lilies.

### Shallow Marsh

Shallow marsh plant communities have soils that are saturated, or inundated by standing water up to 6 inches in depth, throughout most of the growing season. Herbaceous emergent vegetation such as cattails, bulrushes, arrowheads and lake sedges characterize this community. Floating and floating-leaved vegetation are typically reduced and the submergent vegetation is absent.

Fresh Meadow

Fresh (wet) meadows are dominated by grasses and by forbs growing on saturated soils. The forbs and grasses of these meadows tend to be less competitive, more nutrient demanding, and often shorter-lived species, therefore, fresh (wet) meadows may represent younger communities that indicate recent disturbances of other inland fresh meadows by drainage, siltation, cultivation, pasturing, peat fires and/or temporary flooding. Once established, the forbs and grasses of the fresh (wet) meadow community may persist for extended periods of time. Many fresh (wet) meadows are dominated by reed canary grass, a very aggressive, invasive species that can form near monotypes persisting for decades.

Wet Prairie

Prairies are open, herbaceous plant communities dominated by native grass and grass-like species. These communities are similar to fresh (wet) meadows, but are dominated by native grasses and forbs associated with prairies such as prairie cord-grass, big bluestem, switchgrass and sawtooth sunflower. Prior to European settlement, vast expanses of prairie existed in southern Minnesota. Prairies evolved with fire and fire is essential to maintenance of prairies. Without periodic burns, prairies become subject to invasion by woody vegetation. European settlement brought two things to the prairie: the plow and fire suppression. Once the prairie sod was broken, and the wet prairies were drained, the deep, black soils proved to be among the most productive farmland in the world. More than 99 percent of prairies in Minnesota were destroyed by the conversion to agricultural use.

Shrub-Carr Swamps

Shrub-carrs are composed of tall, deciduous shrubs growing on saturated to seasonally flooded soils. Dominant shrubs are typically willows, red-osier dogwood, silky dogwood or gray dogwood. Groundlayer species include some of the ferns, forbs, grasses and sedges of sedge meadow and fresh (wet) meadow communities.

## Hardwood Swamp

Hardwood swamps are dominated by deciduous hardwood trees with soils that are saturated during much of the growing season, and may be temporarily inundated by as much as a foot of standing water. Dominant trees include black ash, red maple, yellow birch, balsam poplar, quaking aspen and silver maple. Vernal pools often occur in wooded swamps. These consist of depressions within upland forests that are ponded early in the growing season, and then dry down for the majority of the growing season. The herb layer may be sparse to absent given the alternating periods of ponding and drawdown.

## Floodplain Forest

Floodplain forests are wetlands dominated by mature, deciduous hardwood trees growing on alluvial soils associated with riverine systems. The soils are inundated during flood events, but are usually somewhat well-drained for much of the growing season. The most characteristic feature of floodplains is the alluvial soil that is constantly being deposited in some locations and eroded away in others. Dominant hardwoods include silver maple, green ash, river birch, swamp white oak, plains cottonwood, American elm and black willow. The shrub layer is typically sparse to lacking because of frequent flooding. Woody vines are more prevalent in floodplain forests than any other forested wetland community. Examples include wild grape, Virginia creeper and moonseed. The herbaceous groundlayer can be sparse and include jewelweed, nettles and certain sedges.

**Cover Class (CC)** is an estimation of aerial cover for each plant documented. There are 7 classes and each class has a range of values. The table illustrates the cover classes, their respective ranges and the **midpoint CC**.

| Cover Class | Cover Class Range | Midpoint |
|---|---|---|
| 7 | 95 – 100% | 97.5% |
| 6 | 75 – 95% | 85% |
| 5 | 50 – 75% | 62.5% |
| 4 | 25 – 50% | 37.5% |
| 3 | 5 – 25% | 15% |
| 2 | 1 – 5% | 3% |
| 1 | 0 – 1% | 0.5% |

**Native Status** is indicating if the species is native to Minnesota or has been introduced. Introduced species are are non-native to the place or area where it is considered introduced.

**Rapid FQA Stratum** is the vegetative layer that the specific plant is found in. There are five stratums.

| Stratum | Definition |
|---|---|
| Aquatic | True aquatic plants that are submergent or have floating leaves |
| Tree | Woody plants with typical maximum growth ≥ 3" DBH (diameter at breast height) |
| Shrub | Woody plants with typical maximum growth < 3" DBH |
| Woody Vine | All woody vines |
| Herb | All non-aquatic herbaceous plants and woody plants with typical maximum growth < 3.3 ft tall |

**The Coefficient of Conservatism (C)** is a numerical rating of 0 to 10 that expresses an individual species' relative fidelity, or conservatism, to specific natural habitats. They are assigned to each native species by an expert panel of botanists using best professional judgment. Non-native species are not assigned C-values as they were not present during the evolution of native species, though they may be included in index calculations with a value of 0. High values indicate that the species is restricted to a very narrow range of habitats. For example, the white lady's slipper is found in only two types of plant communities in Minnesota and so it has a **C** of 10. Conversely, low values indicate low conservatism to specific natural habitats. Species with low values tend to be more universal in their distributions, tolerating a broader range of environmental conditions including human impacts. Box elder, which has a **C** of 1 is a natural component of floodplain forests; however, it can be found in other habitats, including disturbed lands, throughout the region.

**p (proportional abundance)** and **pC (proportional abundance x the C-value)** are used to calculate the abundance **weighted coefficient of conservatism (wC)**.

**wC** is the primary assessment metric because it incorporates species abundance. It takes several steps to calculate this number. The number calculated is an indicator of wetland condition because it accounts for varying degrees of human impacts.

**Biological Condition Gradient (BCG)** describes biological condition according to tiers that range from conditions that are equivalent to those found prior to European settlement– to conditions that are found at sites that are severely impacted. A five tier BCG model specific to wetland vegetation has been developed for the Rapid FQA. See table on next page for description of each tier.

**Wheighted Average BCG Tier for AA** is the tier given for the overall wetland evaluated. This value is calculated by multiplying each community BCG tier by its proportional extent and then summing the values.

For more information:

http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-types-and-programs/surface-water/wetlands/floristic-quality-assessment.html

# Biological Condition Gradient (BCG) Tier Description and Results of Blue Earth County Wetland Assessment

| BCG Tier | MnRAM Vegetation Quality Category | Plant Community Summary Description | Number of Wetlands in BEC Wetland Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Exceptional | The plant community is relatively undisturbed such that it represents pre-European settlement conditions. A few non-native species may be present but do not cause displacement of native ones. | 2 |
| 2 | High | The plant community is similar to the natural community with minor changes in the abundance and distribution. Multiple native dominant plants typically present with invasive species comprising < 20%. | 6 |
| 3 | Medium | Moderate changes in the plant community. Sensitive and rare plants replaced with more tolerant ones. Native species reduced with invasive species comprising 20-50%. | 40 |
| 4 | Low | Large to extreme changes in the plant community. Extent of native species is reduced to isolated pockets with invasive species comprising >50%. If in a forested community >50% of the canopy may be dead and/or no tree regeneration. | 27 |
| 5 | | Plant life only marginally supported or soil largely devoid of wetland vegetation due to ongoing severe human impacts. | 0 |
| | | | 75 |